

**From:** Joel.chitiea@artiea.com  
**To:** [PTABNPR2018](#)  
**Subject:** For Director Iancu  
**Date:** Wednesday, June 27, 2018 2:01:59 PM

---

Dear Director Iancu,

I am writing to support your proposed changes regarding jettisoning the Broadest Reasonable Interpretation in Patent Trial and Appeals Board matters and replacing it using the Phillips standard. The harm being done to our economy by patents being abused in such a manner will be long-lasting and deep. As such I would like you ask you to apply the Phillips standard of claim construction used in Article III courts. Applying BRI (“broadest reasonable interpretation”), as is now the case, to an issued patent is incorrect and harmful because that is same standard used during examination. Inspection prior to issuance necessarily must be stricter than inspection after issuance. This is a basic premise of quality control (6 sigma, TQM, lean, etc.). If the original examination is not done to a tighter standard than what is desired for the final product, then the final product is doomed to a high failure rate. More importantly, a patent claim can only be permitted to have a single scope, regardless of the adjudication venue. The patent owner, the public, and any accused infringer must all have notice and be able to rely on fixed metes and bounds in order for the patent to serve any useful purpose.

Defer to prior constructions, absent clear error. Often an accused infringer will seek a broad construction for purposes of invalidating a patent and a narrow construction for purposes of arguing non-infringement. This is not fair. If a court or the PTAB has previously adopted a construction of the same term in the context of the same or essentially the same specification, this construction must be adopted by the PTAB.

I believe that this is but a first step to returning our system of encouraging entrepreneurial growth to its former glory.

Good luck in your efforts.

Joel Chitiea

4172 Churchill Dr.

Newbury Park, CA 91320

To stop receiving marketing emails from:

- An individual financial advisor at Wells Fargo Advisors Financial Networks: Reply to one of his/her emails and type “Remove” in the subject line.
- Wells Fargo and its affiliates [click here](#)

Neither of these actions will affect delivery of important service messages regarding your accounts that we may need to send you or preferences you may have previously set for other email services.

For additional information regarding our electronic communication policies, visit our [electronic communications policies](#) for additional information  
Investment products and services are offered through Wells Fargo Advisors Financial

Network, LLC (WFAFN), member FINRA/SIPC, a registered broker dealer and nonbank affiliate of Wells Fargo & Company. 1 North Jefferson, St. Louis, MO 63103. WFAFN uses the trade name Wells Fargo Advisors. Any other referenced entity is a separate entity from WFAFN.

This email may be an advertisement for products and services.